

H. Res. ___

H.R. 1837 - San Joaquin Valley Water Reliability Act

1. Structured rule.
2. Provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources.
3. Waives all points of order against consideration of the bill.
4. Makes in order as original text for purpose of amendment an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of Rules Committee Print 112-15 and provides that it shall be considered as read.
5. Waives all points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute.
6. Makes in order only those amendments printed in the Rules Committee report accompanying the resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.
7. Provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

RESOLUTION

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1837) to address certain water-related concerns on the San Joaquin River, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of

order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources now printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 112-15. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against that amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. No amendment to that amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS PROPOSED TO BE MADE IN ORDER

(summaries derived from information provided by sponsors)

Sponsor	# Description	Debate Time
1. McClintock (CA)	#10 Manager's Amendment. Would make several technical and clarifying changes to the bill.	(10 minutes)
2. Thompson, Mike (CA), Eshoo (CA)	#1 (REVISED) Would prevent several provisions of this Act from going into effect if any agriculture, agriculture-related, fishery, or fishery-related job is lost North of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta.	(10 minutes)
3. McNerney (CA)	#3 (REVISED) Would prevent several provisions of the Act from taking effect until it is determined that it will not harm the quality or safety of drinking water supplies for	(10 minutes)

residents of California's Delta region (Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo Counties).

- | | | |
|---|---|--------------|
| 4. McNerney
(CA) | #4 (REVISED) Would prevent several provisions of the Act from taking effect until it is determined that it will not harm water quality or water availability for agricultural producers in California's Delta region (Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo Counties). | (10 minutes) |
| 5. Garamendi
(CA) | #9 Would remove provisions of the bill that seek to privatize a public resource and restores the Secretary of the Interior's discretion over water contract renewals. | (10 minutes) |
| 6. Napolitano
(CA) | #5 Would create a revenue stream through the elimination of a subsidy that allowed irrigators to repay project debt with no interest. | (10 minutes) |
| 7. Garamendi
(CA) | #8 Would remove provisions of the bill that seek to decrease current supply of water to the Delta region. | (10 minutes) |
| 8. Markey,
Edward (MA),
Thompson,
Mike (CA),
Matsui (CA) | #2 Would replace provisions in H.R. 1837 that override state law with a provision upholding state law and requiring use of the best available science. | (10 minutes) |
| 9. Garamendi
(CA) | #7 Would ensure that new water deliveries to Kettleman City can be met with existing water allocations. | (10 minutes) |