H. Res. __

H.R. 2218 - Empowering Parents through Quality Charter Schools
H.R. 1892 - Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012

2. Provides one hour of general debate on H.R. 2218 equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce.
3. Waives all points of order against consideration of H.R. 2218.
4. Makes in order the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee Education and the Workforce now printed in the bill as an original bill for purpose of amendment and provides that the amendment shall be considered as read.
5. Waives all points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.
6. Makes in order only those amendments to H.R. 2218 printed in Part A of the Rules Committee report accompanying the resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.
7. Waives all points of order against the amendments printed in Part A of the report.
8. Provides one motion to recommit H.R. 2218 with or without instructions.
10. Provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.
11. Waives all points of order against consideration of H.R. 1892.
12. Makes in order as original text for the purpose of amendment the Rules
Committee Print of H.R. 1892 dated August 31, 2011 and provides that the Print shall be considered as read.

13. Waives all points of order against the Rules Committee Print.

14. Makes in order only those amendments to H.R. 1892 printed in Part B of the Rules Committee report accompanying the resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

15. Waives all points of order against the amendments printed in Part B of the Rules Committee report.

16. Provides that the chairman of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence or his designee may offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments printed in Part B the report not earlier disposed of. Amendments en bloc shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence or their designees, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The original proponent of an amendment included in such amendments en bloc may insert a statement in the *Congressional Record* immediately before the disposition of the amendments en bloc.

17. Provides one motion to recommit H.R. 1892 with or without instructions.

18. Provides that a motion to proceed with regard to a joint resolution of disapproval specified in subsection (a)(1) of section 3101A of title 31, United States Code shall be in order only if offered by the Majority Leader or his designee; and may be offered even following the sixth day specified in subsection (c)(3) of such section but not later than the legislative day of September 14, 2011.

---

**RESOLUTION**

*Resolved,* That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2218) to amend the charter school program under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate
shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Education and the Workforce now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. No amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

Sec. 2. (a) At any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1892) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2012 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and amendments specified in this resolution and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule.

(b) In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence now printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of the Rules Committee Print dated August 31, 2011. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against that amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived.

(c) No amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in
order as original text shall be in order except those printed in part B of the report of
the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution and amendments en bloc
described in subsection (f).

(d) Each amendment printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules
shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a
Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for
the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and
an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a
demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

(e) All points of order against amendments printed in part B of the report of
the Committee on Rules or amendments en bloc described in subsection (f) are
waived.

(f) It shall be in order at any time for the chair of the Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence or his designee to offer amendments en bloc consisting of
amendments printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules not earlier
disposed of. Amendments en bloc offered pursuant to this subsection shall be
considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled
by the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on
Intelligence or their designees, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be
subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of
the Whole. The original proponent of an amendment included in such amendments
en bloc may insert a statement in the Congressional Record immediately before the
disposition of the amendments en bloc.

(g) At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee
shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been
adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment
adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature
of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be
considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without
intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

Sec. 3. A motion to proceed with regard to a joint resolution of disapproval
specified in subsection (a)(1) of section 3101A of title 31, United States Code --- (a)
shall be in order only if offered by the Majority Leader or his designee; and (b) may
be offered even following the sixth day specified in subsection (c)(3) of such section
but not later than the legislative day of September 14, 2011.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS IN PART A MADE IN ORDER

(summaries derived from information provided by sponsors)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Debate Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Kline, John (MN), Miller, George (CA)</strong></td>
<td>#6 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would make technical and clarifying corrections to the bill as reported out of Committee. Would make additional policy changes to improve the Charter School Program, including provisions regarding parent input, annual grants, education for at-risk students, diverse charter school models, transportation needs, high quality applicants, and school lunch participants.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Davis, Susan (CA)</strong></td>
<td>#12 (LATE) (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would add to the purpose section of H.R. 2218 the importance of innovation in public education to prepare students to compete in the global economy.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Paulsen (MN), Polis (CO)</strong></td>
<td>#3 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would change the duration of Subgrants in the Grant Limitations Section from 5 years to 3 years to allow successful and eligible operating schools replicate and expand faster. The school must demonstrate successful operation data for no less than 3 years.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Luján (NM)</strong></td>
<td>#11 (REVISED) (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would add to the requirement that applicants include in their application a description of how a charter school program would share best and promising practices between charter schools and other public schools, by including in that description how they would share best practices in instruction and professional development in technology, engineering, and math education where appropriate.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Polis (CO)</strong></td>
<td>#5 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would promote innovation and quality in charter schools by adding a priority to states that allow charter school authorizers besides local educational agencies.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Moore, Gwen (WI)</strong></td>
<td>#2 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would strike “governor of a state” from the definition of “state entity” on page 20, thus removing Governors’ eligibility to apply for federal grant funding to oversee charter school operations in their states.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Holt (NJ)</strong></td>
<td>#8 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would encourage the Secretary of Education to include a priority for green school building practices in the application for states to ensure that federal investment in charter school facilities would be energy efficient and environmentally friendly.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. King, Steve</strong></td>
<td>#9 (10 minutes)</td>
<td>Would strike subparagraph (d) of subsection (6) of Sec.(9)</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which is part of the definition of "high quality charter schools." Would strike the following language: "(D) has demonstrated success in increasing student academic achievement for the subgroups of students described in section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II)."

### SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS IN PART B MADE IN ORDER

(summaries derived from information provided by sponsors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Debate Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Rogers, Mike (MI)</td>
<td>#13 Would make various modifications and technical corrections including: 1) clarifies the language contained in section 102 that would limit distribution of the classified annex to ensure that the Executive Branch may distribute within the Executive Branch as necessary to implement the budget; 2) strikes section 307 concerning amendments to provisions requiring certain information be provided to Congress prior to transfer of detainees so as to maintain the process in current law; 3) strikes section 309 concerning a requirement that the DNI provide certain State Department documents related to detainees; 4) clarifies that decisions made pursuant to the authority in section 310 may not be delegated to an official below the level of the service acquisition executive for the agency concerned; 5) adds a new section that would permit the President to make temporary appointments to fill vacancies in offices within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence that require Senate confirmation (except the DNI, for whom by Section 103A(a)(6) of the National Security Act of 1947 the Principal Deputy DNI is next in line) with a senior official who serves in another element of the Intelligence Community; and 6) strikes section 421, which requires confirmation of the Director of the National Security Agency.</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wolf (VA)</td>
<td>#8 Would create a “Team B” -- a counterterrorism competitive analysis council of outside experts -- to continuously advise the Director of National Intelligence and the Congress on how best to revise plans, operations, concepts, organizations, and capabilities across the</td>
<td>(10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intelligence community in response to the evolving threat of terrorism and domestic radicalization.

3. Hinchey (NY)  #9 Would require the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) (10 minutes) to report to the House and Senate Intelligence panels on information it has regarding the human rights violations of the military government in Argentina that resulted in 30,000 disappearances between the mid-1970's and mid-1980's.

4. Cuellar (TX)  #3 Would direct each agency that deals with classified documents to report to Congress within 1 year potential security risks associated with the acquisition of computer hardware. The report would include recommendations of what steps need to be taken to ensure computer hardware that is acquired for use with classified documents is not at risk being used to disclose information to outside sources.

5. Holt (NJ)  #6 Would direct the Director of National Intelligence to submit to Congress not more than 180 days after enactment a National Intelligence Estimate on the impact of the recent revolutions in North Africa and the Middle East on the security of the State of Israel.

6. Hunter (CA)  #7 Would require the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense to establish a coordinated strategy utilizing all available personnel and assets for intelligence collection and analysis to identify and counter network activity and operations in Pakistan and Afghanistan relating to the development and use of improvised explosive devices.

7. Carney (DE)  #2 Would express the sense of Congress that railway transportation security has been and must continue to be a priority of the intelligence community in infrastructure threat assessment, namely through the coordination of the Office of Intelligence & Analysis.

8. Cuellar (TX)  #4 (REVISED) Would require the National Security Strategy Report include outlining efficiencies, cost saving mechanism, and methods to streamline national defense, and homeland security intelligence capabilities.

9. Keating (MA)  #11 (REVISED) Would include Sense of Congress language (10 minutes) to encourage the Secretary of Homeland Security, in
consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to integrate the intelligence-sharing capabilities of fusion centers and leverage participation from all intelligence, law enforcement and homeland security agencies to prevent acts of terrorism against the United States in a manner consistent with the Constitution.