



1200 18th Street, NW | Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
202-986-2200
frac.org

**Testimony of Luis Guardia,
President, Food Research & Action Center**

**U.S. House of Representatives
Committee on Rules
Hearings and Roundtables on Ending Hunger in America
March 30, 2022**

I. Introduction

Chair McGovern, Ranking Member Cole, and Members of the House Rules Committee. My name is Luis Guardia and I am the President of the Food Research & Action Center (“FRAC”). FRAC works to improve the nutrition, health, and well-being of millions of people struggling against poverty-related hunger in the United States through advocacy, partnerships, and by advancing bold and equitable policy solutions.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit testimony as part of your series of Hearings and Roundtables on Ending Hunger in America.

These hearings and roundtables—unprecedented by the House Rules Committee—will pave the way for a diverse and inclusive White House Conference on Food, Nutrition, Hunger, and Health. The Committee has reached beyond the capital beltway to hear from state and local anti-hunger groups and emergency food providers; nonprofits representing children, veterans, immigrants, civil rights, older adults, workers, farmers, and others; education stakeholders, faith-based organizations, and experts with lived experience in hunger and poverty.

The Committee’s efforts to address hunger in America also have included site visits to communities across the United States. I had the privilege of joining you, Chair McGovern, on your visit to San Francisco and the Bay Area in August 2021. Through a series of visits ranging from a Palo Alto food pantry to a farmers’ market in the Tenderloin. I was able to witness inspiring and innovative work to address the struggles faced by people experiencing hunger in the midst of the COVID-19 economic and health crisis.

I was pleased to experience and engage with community leaders and see the importance of nutrition programs in action.

When we met a mother of four at the farmers’ market who struggled to put nutritious food on the table, I saw how meaningful additional food benefits were to her. There were so many other inspiring stories underscoring the need for adequate benefits and access to food with dignity that will stay with me forever.

These visits underscored the critical role the government plays in improving the health, nutrition, and well-being of families struggling with hunger. I saw how government action to strengthen and improve the reach of vital nutrition assistance such as SNAP, school meals, WIC, child care food, and other federal nutrition programs has been critical to struggling individuals and families. The other key observation is the proven stimulative effect on the economy.

We know that thousands of communities across the country continue to struggle to recover from the greatest economic crisis in 100 years. More needs to be done to address unprecedented food insecurity and the racial and economic disparities in food and economic security.

Of immediate importance is the need to address the worsening hunger cliff that looms when COVID-19 emergency health declarations end. On average, SNAP households in most states will lose \$82 in monthly SNAP allotments. FRAC's blogs, *A Strengthen SNAP Agenda to Address the Hunger Cliff*^{1,2} ([Part 1](#) and [Part 2](#)), include administrative and legislative actions to permanently strengthen SNAP benefit amounts and eligibility provisions. The blogs also lay out the necessary strategies to promote efficient and equitable SNAP access and good customer service.

Actions need to be taken to extend the waivers authorized by the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA") that allowed schools, child care providers, and community organizations the flexibility needed to continue serving meals to children during this unprecedented time. This is why FRAC and more than 2,000 national, state, and local organizations representing every state across the country sent a letter³ to Congress urging that USDA be given the authority to extend the waivers. Without these waivers, the child nutrition programs would not have been able to adequately respond to the fallout from COVID-19. Throughout the pandemic, schools and community meal sponsors have relied on these waivers to keep children fed during short- and long-term closures, alleviate child hunger, advance racial equity, and child well-being. FRAC strongly supports House and Senate bi-partisan bills that have been introduced to extend USDA's waiver authority beyond the current deadline of June 30, 2022.

The pandemic only exacerbated an existing national hunger crisis. The anti-hunger community was extremely disappointed and frustrated that the Build Back Better Act stalled in the Senate. Provisions in this legislation would make historic gains towards eliminating childhood hunger and poverty. Congress must continue to provide investments in these programs in its next legislative vehicles.

We are at a pivotal moment in time. We must build on the lessons learned during the pandemic. Now is the time to convene a White House Conference that is focused on hunger.

To quote Chairman McGovern, "... hunger is a political condition. We have the resources, and we know what it takes. We just have to muster the will to end it." That political will has resulted in congressional support to secure funding for a convening of a White House Conference in 2022. This conference is a commitment that ending hunger in America is a national priority and creates a unique opportunity to leverage the political will necessary to implement bold, innovative, and multi-sector solutions that will address the underlying root causes of hunger and food insecurity.

¹ <https://frac.org/blog/strengthen-snap-agenda-part-1>

² <https://frac.org/blog/strengthen-snap-agenda-part-2>

³ https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/CN_Waiver_SignOnFeb2022.pdf

II. Strategies to End Hunger in America

The pandemic has resulted in an increase in hardship, including more individuals living in households with food insecurity, and increased racial and ethnic disparities in food insecurity. COVID-19 has applied unique pressures to the relationships between hunger, poverty, and poor health, while hunger, poverty, and health have simultaneously increased the risk of COVID-19 transmission, infection, and morbidity. It is critical to understand how disparities in COVID-19 outcomes reflect distinct and interlocking causes across different groups of people. Policies enacted to spur recovery must account for these unique root causes and center leadership from members of systemically oppressed populations and communities. Tracking data about hunger, poverty, and health among these groups over the course of the pandemic is essential to ensure that recovery efforts are not ended too soon and leave them behind.

Across the country, governments and their nonprofit and private sector partners adapted the federal nutrition programs to meet increased need, while simultaneously adjusting their operations to align with public health guidance and to respond to changes in their ability to administer programs. Program participation during the first year of COVID-19 reflects the unprecedented levels of food and economic hardship during the pandemic. Several program expansions were critical for vulnerable groups and increased financial support for households.

Clearly, the nutrition programs are effective tools to address disparities in hunger, poverty, and health, and evidence from the pandemic indicates that they have been crucial resources in helping families get the nutrition they need. The federal nutrition programs are among our nation's most important, proven, and cost-effective public interventions, and further improvements can be made to support a more robust and equitable recovery.

Now is the time to build on the lessons learned by strengthening the federal nutrition programs and anti-poverty initiatives that will lead to an equitable economic recovery. We must:

Strengthen the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)

SNAP is the cornerstone of the nation's food security safety net, helping to put food on the table for 41 million low-income participants each month. When the number of families struggling to make ends meet increases, SNAP responds quickly and effectively to meet that need.

Research⁴ demonstrates the effectiveness of SNAP in alleviating poverty; reducing food insecurity; improving the health, nutrition, and well-being of children, adults, and older adults; reducing health care utilization and costs; and stabilizing the economy during downturns. Indeed, each \$1 in SNAP benefits during economic downturns generates between \$1.50 and \$1.80 in economic activity.

⁴ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/hunger-health-role-snap-improving-health-well-being.pdf>

Nearly 43 million people participated in SNAP in September 2020⁵, on average, compared to nearly 38 million in September 2019. In addition, SNAP and Disaster-SNAP (D-SNAP) were responsive in multiple areas of the country recovering from natural disasters⁶ in the midst of the pandemic.⁷

FRAC has a number of policy priorities to strengthen SNAP as part of the nation's plan to eliminate hunger.

Boost SNAP Benefits:

Several temporary SNAP improvements have mitigated food hardship during the COVID-19 crisis. Many of those measures are tied to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Pandemic Public Health Emergency Declaration (PHE). When that ends, it could result in a significant “hunger cliff” for millions of people. In addition to other relief that expires, most SNAP participants will lose on average \$82 a month in SNAP benefits.⁸

The federal government should continue to renew the COVID-19 PHE so long as conditions warrant. It also should provide for enhanced SNAP benefits to be triggered automatically with the onset of an economic recession or a health pandemic.⁹ Moreover, the federal government should ensure that Disaster SNAP tools can respond to pandemics, not only to natural disasters.¹⁰ The Pandemic Disaster Assistance Act of 2020 (S. 3534) that then Senator Kamala Harris introduced would have done that.

The federal government should increase SNAP benefits on a permanent basis¹¹ by:

- replacing the outdated Thrifty Food Plan with the Low Cost Food Plan¹² as the basis for calculating SNAP benefits¹³
- eliminating the cap on the SNAP shelter deduction¹⁴ to help families with children struggling to afford to both heat and eat¹⁵,
- increasing the SNAP minimum monthly benefit, and

⁵ <https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/resource-files/34SNAPmonthly-3.pdf>

⁶ <https://frac.org/disaster>

⁷ <https://frac.org/blog/snap-a-critical-support-during-the-first-year-of-the-covid-19-pandemic>

⁸ <https://www.fns.usda.gov/ftp/blog-083021>

⁹ <https://www.brookings.edu/research/strengthening-snap-as-an-automatic-stabilizer/>

¹⁰ <https://frac.org/blog/recovering-from-disasters-aid>

¹¹ <https://frac.org/blog/legislative-action-to-improve-snap-benefit-adequacy>

¹² <https://frac.org/blog/close-snap-benefit-gaps>

¹³ <https://frac.org/blog/legislative-action-to-improve-snap-benefit-adequacy>

¹⁴ <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Exs-LVWOy4NRolY5JWQii954HBM71861/view>

¹⁵ <https://frac.org/blog/addressing-the-looming-hunger-cliff-improve-snap-deductions>

- making more widely available the SNAP Standard Medical Deduction for older people and people with disabilities.¹⁶

Eliminate Arbitrary Eligibility Barriers and Technology Barriers to SNAP Access

Arbitrary and harsh eligibility rules undercut access for many people who are struggling to make ends meet, have disparate impacts on particular groups, and are exacerbating racial and health inequities during COVID-19. U.S. citizens residing in Puerto Rico, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands are excluded from SNAP, which prevents them from receiving the same nutrition assistance provided to other people in America with low-incomes.

The capped Nutrition Assistance Program (NAP) block grant leads to more restrictive eligibility requirements, lower monthly benefits, and greater instability in nutrition aid for these individuals and families than is available for other Americans under SNAP, a major inequity.

- While there is a temporary suspension of the three-month SNAP time limit during the COVID-19 public health emergency, time limits should be eliminated permanently.¹⁷
- The special rules that prevent many otherwise income-eligible college students from qualifying for SNAP should be suspended during COVID-19 and lifted permanently.¹⁸
- The federal lifetime ban on SNAP benefits for convicted drug felons should be eliminated.
- The five-year bar that disqualifies many lawful permanent residents from receiving SNAP should be rescinded.
- Native and Indigenous communities should be allowed to access SNAP and Food Distribution on Indian Reservations (FDPIR) without having to choose only one.
- As Americans, Puerto Ricans and citizens of U.S. Territories deserve urgent and equitable food access and should not be subject to fewer benefits solely based on residency. As approved by the territories' leadership, the NAP should successfully transition to full participation in SNAP.

Improve Access Points for SNAP Customers to Obtain Food

- The SNAP Restaurant Meals Program (RMP) should be expanded to enable more SNAP participants who are 60 years and older, have disabilities, or are homeless to use SNAP to purchase meals from approved restaurants.¹⁹
- During COVID-19 and future pandemics, RMP should be allowed for all SNAP participants.²⁰

¹⁶ <https://frac.org/blog/addressing-the-looming-hunger-cliff-improve-snap-deductions>

¹⁷ <https://frac.org/blog/new-bill-would-permanently-eliminate-time-limits-on-snap-eligibility>

¹⁸ <https://frac.org/blog/key-barrier-to-snap-access-for-college-students-would-be-removed-under-new-bill>

¹⁹ <https://www.mdhungersolutions.org/pdf/mdhs-testimony-SB752.pdf>

²⁰ <https://panetta.house.gov/media/press-releases/congressman-panetta-senator-murphy-announce-legislation-expand-access>

- Hot prepared foods should be allowed for purchase with SNAP benefits.²¹
- Additional efforts are needed to make use of SNAP benefits more convenient, including by expanding online SNAP EBT to more authorized retailers.

Invest in Technology, Outreach, and Other Administrative Supports to Promote SNAP Benefit Access and Good Customer Service

- State administration of SNAP is underfunded. Since 1998, most states receive less than 50 percent reimbursement of the costs for SNAP administrative operations (e.g., older adults or people who rely on public transportation) or may not be able to easily access food retail outlets (i.e., “food deserts”). This has undercut their capacity, including for SNAP technology improvements and good customer service.
- The federal government should provide greater support for SNAP administration, including enhanced match-funding for SNAP technology and application-assistance initiatives.
- Enhanced funding streams for SNAP outreach can help get more eligible people connected with SNAP.²²

Bolster Child Nutrition Programs

The child nutrition programs (school lunch and breakfast, afterschool meals and snacks, summer food, WIC, and child care food) are central and essential tools for ending childhood hunger, improving health, and ensuring positive educational outcomes. These proven programs reduce poverty, prevent obesity, strengthen school and child care programs, and boost children’s health, development, and school achievement. They are among our nation’s most important and cost-effective public interventions, and they play an important role as the country recovers from the pandemic. In order to ensure that children can access the benefits of these programs, these programs must be bolstered in important ways.

School Meals Programs

The School Breakfast Program and the National School Lunch Program provide nutritious meals that support academic achievement, better attendance, and improved student behavior in addition to reducing childhood hunger. Before COVID-19, approximately 22 million children received free or reduced-price school lunch on an average school day, with school breakfast reaching just over half of the low-income students who participate in school lunch. These programs have tremendous educational benefits and should be protected and strengthened to improve access.

²¹ <https://meng.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/meng-rush-and-fitzpatrick-introduce-bipartisan-bill-to-allow-snap-to>

²² <https://frac.org/blog/prioritizingsnapoutreachjune2021>

When schools shuttered in the spring of 2020, school nutrition departments and community-based organizations quickly pivoted from school breakfast and lunch to provide meals to children through the Summer Nutrition Programs, which become available during unanticipated school closures. Even though there was a significant effort to open meal sites to give children access to meals, participation dropped dramatically from the previous school year. In April 2020, the first full month that schools were closed, lunch participation dropped by 43 percent, from 20.1 million children receiving free or reduced-price meals in April 2019 to 11.8 million in April 2020.²³

24

The innovative Pandemic EBT program was created during the pandemic to provide the value of school meals on an EBT card for families who lost access to free and reduced-price school meals when schools shuttered. From spring of 2020 to November 2021, \$43.7 billion in benefits have been provided to 37 million pre-school and school age children.

Moving forward, we must:

- **Provide school breakfast and lunch at no charge to all students.** Prior to the pandemic, the Community Eligibility Provision created in the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 has allowed 1 in 3 high-poverty schools to offer free meals to all students, commonly called Healthy School Meals for All. During the pandemic, schools have been able to offer free meals to all students, which has given an opportunity for a trial run of Healthy School Meals for All, which has highlighted the value of the approach: All students have access to the nutrition they need to succeed in school; less administrative work for school districts; and no unpaid school meals fees. The White House Conference should explore strategies to move all schools to Healthy School Meals for All.
- **Increase the use of innovative school breakfast models by schools to expand access to school breakfast.** Participation in school breakfast has historically lagged behind school lunch. Most schools provide school breakfast in the cafeteria before the school day starts, which leads many students to miss out on a healthy meal to start their school day ready to learn. Breakfast in the classroom and other innovative programs that move school breakfast out of the cafeteria and make it part of the school day, combined with offering the meal to all students at no charge, is the best way to increase participation in this important program.

Out-of-School Time Programs

²³ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/COVID-19-and-School-Meals-Participation-in-Spring-2020.pdf>

²⁴ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/SchoolMealsReport2022.pdf>

Afterschool and summer nutrition programs provide nutritious meals to children after school, on weekends, and during school holidays and vacations at sites in low-income communities. The meals help draw children into educational and enrichment programs, and these programs will be critical equity supports as the nation recovers from the pandemic. Afterschool and summer meals reach only a small portion of the low-income children who participate in school lunch, which means that food insecurity goes up during the summer and children miss out on nutritious meals after school, on weekends, and during school holidays, and should be strengthened.

Participation in the Summer Nutrition Programs is historically significantly lower than during the regular school year, serving just one child lunch for every seven who participates in free or reduced-price school lunch during the school year.²⁵ Participation in 2020 was significantly higher than in July 2019 (which reflects summer participation prior to the pandemic). The increase in participation was due to the U.S. Department of Agriculture issuing a number of child nutrition waivers to support program operations during the pandemic, such as allowing any community to offer meals to families who needed them and allowing families to pick up multiple meals for their children.²⁶

FRAC would like to see policies that:

- **Expand access to healthy meals in afterschool and summer programs.** These programs are built on afterschool and summer programming, which will be critical as the nation responds to the educational impact that COVID-19 has had on children, particularly low-income children. By combining a large federal investment in afterschool and summer programs with the critical improvements of the child nutrition programs that are detailed below, the nutrition programs will support educational equity as well as combat childhood hunger and improve nutrition.
- **Invest in the afterschool and summer nutrition programs to expand children's access to meals when school is not in session.** For example, these programs should be streamlined to allow schools and other entities to operate one nutrition program. Currently, schools must operate CACFP in order to provide afterschool suppers instead of operating through the school nutrition programs; and summer food sponsors should be able to feed children year-round through the Summer Food Service Program. In addition, the area eligibility test for afterschool and summer meal sites is 50 percent, and it leaves out too many communities, particularly rural and suburban. The pandemic has highlighted the barrier that this threshold creates, and USDA waived the test so that all communities could provide meals through afterschool and summer nutrition programs. This approach should become standard

²⁵ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/FRAC-Summer-Nutrition-Report-2020.pdf>

²⁶ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/nationwide-waivers.pdf>

practice. Start-up grants and transportation grants (especially for programs in rural areas) are also essential.

- **Provide Summer EBT cards to low-income families.** The limited reach of the summer nutrition programs highlights the need for an approach to combat food insecurity, which goes up during the summer when school meals are not available to children who rely on them during the school year. Evaluations of Summer EBT and initial research on P-EBT (which provides the value of school meals on an EBT card to families who lost access to free or reduced-price school meals due to school closures related to COVID-19) show that this approach helps minimize food insecurity. The program also should provide benefits to cover the meals that families lose access to on school holidays and breaks and quickly respond to another pandemic or crisis situation that closes schools unexpectedly.

Early Childhood Nutrition Programs (CACFP and WIC)

The following administrative and legislative actions are designed to strengthen program access and support participation by underserved children and communities, ensure nutritional quality, and simplify program administration and operation. These actions should maintain and build upon the critical gains and lessons learned from the success of flexibilities that have been offered during COVID-19.

The **Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)** provides nutritious meals and snacks for eligible children and elderly or disabled adults who are enrolled at participating child care centers, family child care homes, afterschool programs, Head Start programs, adult care centers, and homeless shelters. This program supports good nutrition, as well as high-quality and affordable child care, which helps children develop fully and supports working parents.

This drop in participation in CACFP during the pandemic reflects the increased caregiver burden placed on families with young children and adult dependents as a result of child and adult care center closures.²⁷

More must be done to reach children who are eligible for the program. Unfortunately, under the current rules, CACFP meals and snacks are out of reach for millions of young children in child care. Many child care programs do not participate in CACFP because (1) the benefits are inadequate, (2) the program is wrought with burdensome paperwork, and (3) the losses and penalties are too detrimental to child care programs that operate on razor thin margins. The brunt of these barriers disproportionately impacts both communities of color and providers with fewer resources, contributing to gross inequities in child care quality and nutrition. To achieve equity in CACFP, systemic barriers that often give advantages to better-resourced programs should be removed.

²⁷ <https://frac.org/research/resource-library/cacfpprogrambriefmarch2021>

- **Allow child care centers and homes the option of serving an additional meal service (typically a snack or supper) for children in full day care.** National child care standards, based on the best nutrition and child development science, specify that young children need to eat small healthy meals and snacks on a regular basis throughout the day. Many children are in care for eight hours or more per day as their parents work long hours to make ends meet, so they rely on child care providers to meet a majority of their nutrition needs. Previously, child care providers could receive funding for up to four meal services—most commonly two meals and two snacks. Congress eliminated one meal service to achieve budget savings. This penny-wise and pound-foolish approach harms children’s nutrition and health and weakens child care. We should restore CACFP support to the full complement of meals and snacks young children need and stop short-changing young children at a time when they, and their families can least afford it.

- **Allow annual eligibility for proprietary (for-profit) child care centers.** Many of these child care centers are small, independent “Mom and Pop” operations that provide much-needed child care and afterschool programs to low-income children in underserved areas. Proprietary child care centers are eligible to participate in CACFP if at least 25 percent of the children they serve are living in low-income households. Unfortunately, USDA requires these child care centers to document institutional eligibility *every month* rather than the annual eligibility allowed for other centers and homes. This creates unnecessary and substantial paperwork and administrative burdens.

- **Streamline program requirements, reduce paperwork, and maximize technology to improve program access.** This can be accomplished through a variety of proposals that will improve CACFP’s ability to reach low-income families and improve equity by streamlining program operations, increasing flexibility, maximizing technology and innovation to reduce parent paperwork, and allowing sponsors and providers to operate most effectively. These include the following recommendations:
 - Modernize applications, eliminate normal days and hours on forms.
 - Allow the use of electronic data collection and virtual visit systems following all the required federal CACFP standards.
 - Allow direct certification in all states.

Reducing CACFP paperwork and rules will increase the power of CACFP to address inequity.

When confronted with the complex CACFP paperwork requirements, many providers choose not to participate because they can’t be assured of receiving reimbursements for

their work, and if they make paperwork errors, the consequences can be severe. It is easier just to resort to serving cheaper, less nutritious meals and operate without the CACFP standards, oversight, and required paperwork.

- **Make permanent the elimination of the area eligibility test to streamline access to healthy meals for young children in family child care homes.** Currently, under the COVID-19 waiver, all family child care homes qualify for the highest reimbursement rate. This eliminates the usual area eligibility requirement that requires an area meet a 50 percent low-income threshold. This threshold is not an effective mechanism; it misses many providers serving low-income children. This is especially true in rural and suburban areas, which do not typically have the same pattern of concentrated poverty seen in urban areas. In addition, the area eligibility test completely bypasses providers and families struggling in high cost-of-living areas. Making the elimination of the area eligibility test permanent would bring more child care providers who serve low-income children into CACFP, and many more children in need would receive healthy CACFP meals and snacks.
- **Make permanent the expansion allowing young adults 18 to 24 years old to participate in CACFP at homeless and youth serving shelters.** Prior to the temporary expansion of benefits in the American Rescue Plan Act, youth serving shelters could not use CACFP because the program was limited to children under 18 years of age. By making permanent the CACFP age expansion implemented during COVID-19, youth serving and family homeless shelters could continue to rely on CACFP to serve healthy meals and snacks. CACFP is an important resource to support the efforts of the committed, hard-pressed, and often faith-based organizations working to care for this vulnerable population.

The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)

WIC is a vital program supporting good health for mothers and children, yet too many eligible families face barriers to WIC. The program provides low-income nutritionally at-risk pregnant women, postpartum mothers, infants, and children up to 5 years old with nutritious foods, nutrition education, breastfeeding support, and referrals to health care. Research shows that WIC improves participants' health and well-being, dietary intake, and birth and health outcomes; protects against obesity; and supports learning and development. WIC benefits are cost-effective, generating major savings in federal, state, local, and private health care, as well as special education costs.

During the first year of COVID-19, a monthly average of 6.3 million women, infants, and children participated in WIC, and redeemed \$2.3 billion in food purchases. This represents an overall increase in participation of 0.5 percent compared to March 2019-

February 2020, which includes a decrease in the number of women and infants participating but a 5.1 percent increase in the number of children participating.²⁸

Strengthening and expanding the WIC program will improve the food and economic security of participants by reducing food insecurity, helping to alleviate poverty, and supporting economic stability.

- **Make permanent the flexibilities that allow for remote enrollment, services and benefits issuance, and the facilitation of online ordering.** It is time to modernize and streamline the WIC program to enhance the WIC experience. It will be important to use the lessons learned from the success of the flexibilities that were offered through WIC waivers during COVID-19. Parents across the country are universally positive about being able to have WIC enrollment and services via phone, and remote benefit issuance. The successful waiver (dropping the requirement for in-person WIC clinic visits) has allowed participants to complete enrollment and education appointments from a convenient location over the phone. Far less common options for services have included video chats and telehealth systems. USDA should accelerate the progress made toward facilitating online ordering during COVID-19. Online ordering systems help WIC participants easily and conveniently choose the right nutritious WIC foods and avoid embarrassing encounters during the check-out process.

- **Fund comprehensive WIC outreach and coordination, including establishing a WIC community partners outreach program and an initiative to coordinate data in the health care and WIC sectors through technology.**
 - Establishing a WIC community partners outreach program, patterned on the successful SNAP outreach program, would fund WIC state agencies to contract with non-WIC community partners to conduct WIC outreach. Effective outreach by community partners can broaden the reach and effectiveness of WIC, which can help overcome barriers to WIC participation, including widespread misconceptions about eligibility, concerns by immigrant families, and limited access to information about WIC benefits and how to apply. WIC outreach needs to serve an increasingly culturally and linguistically diverse population and the new generation of tech-savvy mothers.
 - An initiative to coordinate data in the health care and WIC sectors through the use of technology will pay dividends. It is essential to streamline the current and often arduous options (fax or fillable PDFs) for health care providers to give patient's health information to WIC. This will help families enroll and maintain participation in

²⁸<https://frac.org/research/resource-library/one-year-of-wic-during-covid-19-waivers-are-vital-to-participation-and-benefit-redemption>

WIC by using the assessments (e.g., heights and weights) and blood tests (e.g., for anemia) already completed by their health care providers. In addition, data matching between Medicaid and WIC can be used as an outreach tool to successfully identify eligible but not participating families, and to streamline the income-eligibility process for parents.

- **Extend WIC certification periods to two years and enrollment for children until their sixth birthdays.** Extending WIC certification to two years will support the health of mothers and children with much-needed WIC benefits, healthy food, nutrition counseling, and referrals to services. The mothers and children who are eligible for the extension struggle with food insecurity and poverty — two conditions that make it difficult to maintain good health, nutrition, and overall well-being. The extension of certification periods and eligibility will help to retain families in WIC.
- **Update the WIC food package to be consistent with the 2020–2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans.** The WIC food packages were revised in 2007 to align the authorized foods with the latest nutrition science at the time. Research shows that the revised WIC food packages have favorable impacts on dietary intake, breastfeeding outcomes, and obesity rates. In addition, studies suggest an important role for the WIC food package in improving neighborhood food environments, which benefits low-income communities. The new food package revisions should be consistent with the new Dietary Guidelines and National Academy of Science recommendations, including making permanent the current temporary enhanced levels of fruit and vegetables benefits, and investing significantly in the children’s package.

The investments in these proven nutrition programs must be centered on addressing the systemic racism and discrimination that perpetuate hunger, poverty, and its root causes and undermine equity and justice for all.

Address the Root Causes of Hunger and Poverty

As effective as the nutrition programs are, they cannot end hunger alone when employment and wages fall short, growth is not shared in an equitable way, and people lack access to affordable housing, health care, sufficient disability benefits, and other needed support systems. The interplay of these root causes and the structural racism that drives and exacerbates them help explain why hunger persists in America and why solving hunger must include job creation, wage growth, increased economic equity, sufficient government supports, and addressing systemic inequities.

While these conditions existed long before the pandemic, the health and economic crises laid bare and further deepened the inequities of our nation. The COVID-19 recession has been dubbed the most unequal in modern history with job losses from the pandemic overwhelmingly affect[ing] low-wage, minority workers most.”²⁹ Two years into the pandemic, while the economy is recovering, that recovery is not evenly felt when wages lag, prices for food and rents increase, and the vast majority of the growth goes to those who already have the most resources.

Critical investments to address the root causes of hunger and poverty include—but are not limited to:

Economic policies that promote good jobs, wages, and benefits for low- and moderate- income households: Needed actions include sufficient wages for struggling workers, enforcing wage and hour laws, ramping up public and private job creation, and job training that is effective and targeted to today’s economy. It also means investing in parental leave policies and child care supports that make work feasible for families. Closing educational gaps is critical to supporting the workforce of tomorrow and needed investment include expanding pre-k and post-secondary education/apprenticeships. It also means fixing our broken immigration system so that all workers have access to safe working environments, sufficient wages, and supports.

Government income-support programs for struggling families:

When families and individuals are unable to work—or work full-time because of unemployment, age, or disability, or whose earnings and benefits from work are not adequate to meet basic needs—the safety net must be responsive enough so their basic needs can be met. Nutrition programs alone cannot carry the whole burden of public anti-poverty and anti-hunger supports when employment falls short. When work, even with an increased minimum wage, a restoration of job growth, and other factors pushing up employment and wages, falls short of meeting the basic needs of tens of millions of Americans, even substantially improved SNAP, school meals, and other food programs—while able to greatly reduce suffering and boost economic security, health, and well-being—will not end hunger if acting alone.

Other essential safety net improvement strategies to help meet basic needs include:

- Making the [expanded Child Tax Credit](#)³⁰ changes permanent, more inclusive, and ensuring the benefits reach all eligible families.
- Improving and expanding other refundable tax credits for low-income families.

²⁹ Heather Long, Andrew Van Dam, Alyssa Fowers and Leslie Shapiro, “The covid-19 recession is the most unequal in modern U.S. history,” *The Washington Post*, September 30, 2020, www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2020/business/coronavirus-recession-equality/.

³⁰ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/FRAC-CTC-Primer-2022.pdf>

- Protecting and improving Social Security, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and pensions for low-income older adults and people with disabilities.
- Supporting safe, accessible, affordable homes in neighborhoods that are free from discrimination and where everyone has equitable opportunities to thrive.
- Ensuring access to affordable health care.
- Improving the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program's eligibility and benefits rules.
- Increasing funding commensurate with the growing need for home-delivered and congregate meals provided under the Older Americans Act.

Target and Tailor Supports for Specific Populations with Disproportionate Rates of Hunger

Successfully addressing hunger in the U.S. includes ensuring that anti-hunger priorities and strategies focus on populations struggling the most, including children, women, people with disabilities, immigrants, older adults, LGBTQ+ people, struggling veterans, formerly incarcerated people, people experiencing homelessness, grandfamilies, and people residing in rural areas. Black, Latino, Native American, and Asian and Pacific American households have faced a long history of structural racism that has contributed to disproportionate rates of food insecurity that must be addressed head-on through equitable policies if we are to end hunger in America. The COVID-19 pandemic has both brought to light and deepened these pre-existing disparities. It is essential to center the work to address hunger on policies and strategies that most effectively support the groups that are at the highest risk of food insecurity.

Addressing Food Insecurity in Native American Communities

Far too many Native American households experience food insecurity and food access challenges. Almost half of Native American and Alaska Native survey respondents reported experiencing food insecurity during the COVID-19 pandemic, according to *Reimagining Hunger Responses in Times of Crisis: Insights from Case Examples and a Survey of Native Communities' Food Access During COVID-19*, a report released by the Native American Agriculture Fund (NAAF), the Food Research & Action Center (FRAC), and the Indigenous Food and Agriculture Initiative (IFAI) at the University of Arkansas. The study showed the importance of Tribal leadership, Native American agriculture, and food programs. There are a range of recommendations that should be central to the White House conference discussion and recommendations including the following.

- Support a robust Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR) program with parity to other programs to help ensure equitable, adequate food access.
- Recognize Tribal sovereignty in administering Federal Nutrition Programs.
- Mandate and fund food security data collection and reporting for American Indian and Alaska Native peoples in the annual Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement and other government surveys.

In addition to recommendations referenced elsewhere in this testimony, additional specific recommendations that can help abate food insecurity among specific populations can be found in these FRAC publications:

- [Hunger, Poverty, and Health Disparities During COVID-19 and the Federal Nutrition Programs' Role in an Equitable Recovery](#)³¹
- [Comment from FRAC in response to USDA's request for information: "Identifying Barriers in USDA Programs and Services; Advancing Racial Justice and Equity and Support for Underserved Communities at USDA."](#)³²

Adopt Innovative Approaches to Value Creation

The inequities that existed before COVID-19 were amplified in hunger, economic equity, racism, and health disparities. In addition to strengthening programs that respond to hunger, this conference presents a once-in-a-generation opportunity for ideas to increase wealth creation and address hunger in low income communities.

Building on lessons learned in COVID-19 and new financing mechanisms that seek to create value and address social concerns, the conference can provide leadership to bring together members from a number of sectors such as technology, financial, social entrepreneurs, philanthropy and others to drive innovative ventures that create wealth for historically disenfranchised communities, increase quality, quantity, and access to healthy food, while creating value for other stakeholders and investors.

Many proven impact investment models can serve as a foundation for the White House to lead the U.S. and the world with solutions that simultaneously address hunger, health, poverty, and promote long-term economic security.

Work alongside states, localities, and nonprofits to expand and improve participation in federal nutrition programs

State and local governments, and nonprofit intermediaries need to build on the nutrition programs' considerable strengths and improve on-the-ground access to them. Even in the best performing states, participation rates in these programs often are not high enough, and low enrollment rates around the nation contribute enormously to the hunger problem.

The low participation rates result from a variety of factors. The differences in official attitudes, state and local processes, and results among the states are one reason it is so important to have even stronger federal programs with robust federal funding and clear national program rules. Whether or not a child is hungry—or is receiving good nutrition and is healthy and able to learn—should not depend on what state or county the child is born in, or moves to, or where she goes to child care, or which school she attends. Strengthening the national framework, therefore, is essential, but so is encouraging full

³¹ <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/COVIDResearchReport-2021.pdf>

³² <https://www.regulations.gov/comment/USDA-2021-0006-0408>

use of federal programs and available federal funds. Here are strategies to accomplish this:

- **Expand outreach and education.** State and local governments, foundations, and other private-sector stakeholders should increase public education and outreach efforts for nutrition programs, as they have done for health insurance and the Earned Income Tax Credit. This should include expanding support for nonprofit advocacy groups; food banks; immigrants', seniors', children's, and veterans' groups; and other direct-service providers that struggle to improve federal nutrition program participation.
- **Lower unnecessary and ill-considered state and local barriers to participation.** Too often states or localities put unneeded barriers in the way of struggling families participating in nutrition and other safety net programs. States and localities should eliminate processes that “churn” beneficiaries in and out of eligibility, as well as red tape and stigma-creating hurdles that the federal program rules do not require (and often actively discourage or prohibit). In addition, they should simplify access by using multi-program portals and certify eligibility across programs rather than unnecessarily using multiple applications for multiple programs. States need to revise office hours that are particularly hard for low-income working families to navigate as well as overhaul unnecessarily complex systems that are hard for anyone, much less struggling low-income people, to navigate. Many states have made real progress on these fronts in recent years, but far too many barriers remain.
 - **Provide performance and innovation bonuses.** Federal bonuses should be provided to reward states for excellent performance in operating federal nutrition programs, such as for reaching higher rates of SNAP-eligible people.
- **Buttress The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP), Commodity Supplemental Food Assistance Program (CSFP), and other supports for emergency food.** Expanding TEFAP and CSFP is an important step, although food banks are the first to point out that it is not a sustainable solution to the nation's widespread hunger problem. All families should have the resources from earnings, safety net programs, and other public supports to purchase the healthy food they need. Overwhelmed pantries and other charitable providers recognize that principle, and the need to focus their resources on emergencies and groups not reached even by a much-improved system of government program supports. Until the nation reaches that goal, however, these organizations will continue to play an important role, and will need more support to play that role.

III. Key Attributes for the White House Conference

More than 50 years have passed since the United States convened a White House conference and now—in the throes of the greatest hunger crisis in 100 years—is the time to demonstrate with actions that ending hunger in America is a national priority. FRAC stands ready to work with the administration, Congress, and a wide array of diverse stakeholders to again assemble with the imperative task of eradicating hunger and food insecurity in this country. The important lessons learned in the past two years have taught us that there is no time to waste.

The 1969 White House Conference on Food, Nutrition and Health, proved to be a catalyst for change, advancing policies and legislation that addressed hunger and nutrition needs at that time. Many of the policies and recommendations addressed at that conference are still in effect today, including key improvements to the Food Stamp Program, now the Supplemental Nutrition Program (SNAP), the School Breakfast Program, National School Lunch Program, and the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC). These programs remain a nutrition lifeline for struggling individuals and families. However, a fresh and deeper dive with a diverse array of stakeholders is needed to analyze gaps in federal programs and the impact of anti-hunger and anti-poverty policies. This review and analysis must be conducted through a 21st century lens—one that includes the impact of systemic racism and other inequities that have contributed to growing levels of hunger.

Now that \$2.5 million in funding has been secured for the conference in Public Law No: 117-103, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022³³, FRAC supports the comprehensive approach that will include various federal agencies, state, local, and Tribal officials, anti-hunger, health and private sectors, and people with lived expertise of hunger. It is essential that the conference live up to its congressional mandate to examine the root causes of hunger and undernutrition and how they impact health and the prevalence of chronic disease. We support the comprehensive approach to utilizing existing and cross-departmental strategies while also considering new and innovative approaches to improving health outcomes by eradicating hunger, reducing the prevalence of chronic disease, and improving access to nutritious foods.

Again, FRAC is committed to supporting the convening and will devote its staff and expertise to provide the resources necessary to ensure the success of the conference in the upcoming months. In keeping with the statutory mandate of the conference to “identify current programming that directly or indirectly impacts food and nutrition insecurity and diet related diseases; specific statutory, regulatory, and budgetary barriers to ending hunger and improving nutrition and health in the United States; existing examples of coordination mechanisms between Federal agencies; Federal agencies and State, local, and Tribal governments; and all levels of government and

³³ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/2471/text>

program implementers; and additional authorities or resources needed to eliminate hunger and improve nutrition and health,” FRAC has identified key attributes to laying the foundation for the conference’s success:

- **Leveraging political will:** A White House Conference creates a unique opportunity to leverage the political and public will necessary to implement bold, innovative, and multi-sector solutions that will address the underlying root causes of hunger and food insecurity. Such a comprehensive, national approach to ending the profound harms of hunger is foundational to efforts to improve our nation’s health, educational outcomes, national security, and economy and is essential toward creating a more equitable society.
- **Include a multi-sector convening:** The convening must be facilitated and led by the White House and developed in consultation with Federal, State, and local officials; anti-hunger, food supply, and health care experts drawn from across the country; and most importantly, involve stakeholders, experts, and individuals with lived experience of hunger and poverty. There should be a series of convenings throughout the country leading into the conference and efforts should be made to ensure that convenings are accessible to people who may have barriers, including transportation, language access, inability to attend because of job constraints, child care, lack of internet access, and mobility issues. Stipends need to be available to engage people with lived experience in hunger and poverty to cover not just travel and expenses, but time.
- **Address the needs of people struggling with hunger with solutions that build equity and dignity:** The conference, among other things, should address hunger at its core and should create a roadmap, develop policies, and enact legislation that will eradicate hunger and improve overall nutrition in this country. The conference should also examine the root causes of hunger and food insecurity, including how limited opportunities for economic mobility and other inequities have contributed to hunger, identify program gaps, and develop strategies across federal agencies. The conference should center those who are closest to the issue—people who have lived or living experience with hunger—and be guided by their expertise on the reasons for and how to solve hunger. These components are essential to creating a conference that lays the groundwork for our nation to successfully address hunger for the long-term, acknowledges that hunger is driven by complex and systemic factors, ensures agency and dignity for those who are struggling with hunger, and brings us closer to a nation where all people have the resources they need to thrive.

Thank you, again, for the opportunity to submit my testimony.